Trace Formulae of Characteristic Polynomial and Cayley-Hamilton's Theorem, and Applications to Chiral Perturbation Theory and General Relativity

Hong-Hao Zhang,[1] Wen-Bin Yan,[1] and Xue-Song Li[2]

[1]*Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*
[2]*Science College, Hunan Agricultural University, Changsha 410128, China*

By using combinatorics, we give a new proof for the recurrence relations of the characteristic polynomial coefficients, and then we obtain an explicit expression for the generic term of the coefficient sequence, which yields the trace formulae of the Cayley-Hamilton's theorem with all coefficients explicitly given, and which implies a byproduct, a complete expression for the determinant of any finite-dimensional matrix in terms of the traces of its successive powers. And we discuss some of their applications to chiral perturbation theory and general relativity.

PACS numbers: 02.10.Ud, 02.10.Ox, 12.39.Fe, 04.20.-q.
Keywords: characteristic polynomial coefficients, Cayley-Hamilton's theorem, chiral perturbation theory, general relativity.

arXiv:hep-th/0701116v1 12 Jan 2007

I. INTRODUCTION

There is a famous theorem named in honor of Arthur Cayley and William Hamilton in linear algebra, which asserts that any $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is a solution of its associated characteristic polynomial $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}$ [1]. In the popular $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ and $SU(3) \times SU(3)$ chiral perturbation theories, the Cayley-Hamilton theorem has been used to eliminate redundant terms and keep only independent pieces of the chiral Lagrangian [2, 3, 4, 5]. The trace formulae of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem for 2×2 and 3×3 matrices are respectively

$$\mathbf{A}^2 - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{A} + \frac{1}{2}[\mathrm{tr}^2(\mathbf{A}) - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}^2)] = \mathbf{0}\ , \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbf{A}^3 - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{A}^2 + \frac{1}{2}[\mathrm{tr}^2(\mathbf{A}) - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}^2)]\mathbf{A} - \frac{1}{6}[\mathrm{tr}^3(\mathbf{A}) - 3\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}^2)\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}) + 2\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}^3)] = \mathbf{0}\ . \tag{2}$$

And a recursive algorithm to compute the characteristic polynomial coefficients of a generic $n \times n$ matrix as functions of the traces of its successive powers was given by Silva nearly ten years ago [6]. The work of Ref. [6] obtained the recurrence relations for the coefficient sequence by using an improved version of the remainder theorem and some additional results.

In this paper, with the knowledge of combinatorics, we give a new proof for these recurrence relations, and then we further obtain an explicit formula for the generic term of the coefficient sequence, which yields the trace formulae of Cayley-Hamilton's theorem with all coefficients explicitly given, and which implies a byproduct, a complete expression for the determinant of any finite-dimensional matrix in terms of traces of its successive powers. We also discuss some applications to chiral perturbation theory and general relativity.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, by using combinatorics, a new proof for the recurrence relations of the characteristic polynomial coefficients is given and the explicit expression for the generic term of the coefficient sequence is obtained, which improves the results of previous works. In Sec. III, a detailed discussion of the applications of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem to n-flavor chiral perturbation theories up to $n = 5$ is presented. In Sec. IV, as a further application, the determinant of the metric tensor in 10 dimensional spacetime is explicitly expressed by the traces of its successive powers. Sec. V is devoted to conclusions. A check for the Cayley-Hamilton relations using an alternative approach is presented in App. A, while a *PASCAL* code for the computation of the generic term of the coefficient sequence is given in App. B.

II. TRACE FORMULAE

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be any complex $n \times n$ matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n$ (possibly complex and identical). Then its trace is $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n$, and the characteristic polynomial is $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = \det(\lambda\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = (\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2)\cdots(\lambda - \lambda_n)$, where $\mathbf{I}$ is the $n \times n$ unit matrix. And $\mathbf{A}$ being similar to a Jordan normal form implies that $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}^m) = \lambda_1^m + \lambda_2^m + \cdots + \lambda_n^m$ for any positive integer m. Following the notation of Ref. [6], the traces are denoted by $T_m \equiv \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}^m)$ here and henceforth. Now we are ready to give a new proof for the theorem relating the coefficients of $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda)$ to the traces of $\mathbf{A}^m$ $(m = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$.

Theorem 1 *If* $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = \lambda^n + D_1\lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + D_{n-1}\lambda + D_n$ *is the characteristic polynomial of the complex* $n \times n$ *matrix* $\mathbf{A}$ *and* $T_m = \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}^m)$*, then*

$$mD_m + D_{m-1}T_1 + D_{m-2}T_2 + \cdots + D_1T_{m-1} + T_m = 0 \ , \qquad m = 1, 2, \cdots, n \ . \tag{3}$$

Proof. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n$ be the n eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathbf{A}$. Then $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = \lambda^n + D_1\lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + D_{n-1}\lambda + D_n = (\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2)\cdots(\lambda - \lambda_n)$ yields

$$D_m = (-)^m \sigma_m \ , \qquad \sigma_m \equiv \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m} \lambda_{i_1}\lambda_{i_2}\cdots\lambda_{i_m} \ , \qquad m = 1, 2, \cdots, n \ .$$

Multiplying σ_{m-1} by $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{m-1}T_1 &= (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n) \cdot \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{m-1}} \lambda_{i_1}\lambda_{i_2}\cdots\lambda_{i_{m-1}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 \cdot \sum_{\substack{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{m-2} \\ j_l \neq i}} \lambda_{j_1}\lambda_{j_2}\cdots\lambda_{j_{m-2}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \cdot \sum_{\substack{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{m-1} \\ j_l \neq i}} \lambda_{j_1}\lambda_{j_2}\cdots\lambda_{j_{m-1}} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Now let us show that the second term of the last line of the above equation contains m times of σ_m. For each specific term of σ_m, say $\lambda_1\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_m$, it is included m times in the second term of the last line of the above equation, *i.e.*, it is contained by the m terms as follows

$$\lambda_i \cdot \sum_{\substack{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{m-1} \\ j_l \neq i}} \lambda_{j_1}\lambda_{j_2}\cdots\lambda_{j_{m-1}} \ , \qquad i = 1, 2, \cdots, m \ .$$

Thus,

$$\sigma_{m-1}T_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 \cdot \sum_{\substack{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{m-2} \\ j_l \neq i}} \lambda_{j_1}\lambda_{j_2}\cdots\lambda_{j_{m-2}} + m\sigma_m \ .$$

Next, multiplying σ_{m-2} by $(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \cdots + \lambda_n^2)$ gives

$$\sigma_{m-2}T_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^3 \cdot \sum_{\substack{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{m-3} \\ j_l \neq i}} \lambda_{j_1}\lambda_{j_2}\cdots\lambda_{j_{m-3}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 \cdot \sum_{\substack{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{m-2} \\ j_l \neq i}} \lambda_{j_1}\lambda_{j_2}\cdots\lambda_{j_{m-2}} \ .$$

Likewise,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{m-3}T_3 &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^4 \cdot \sum_{\substack{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{m-4} \\ j_l \neq i}} \lambda_{j_1}\lambda_{j_2}\cdots\lambda_{j_{m-4}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^3 \cdot \sum_{\substack{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{m-3} \\ j_l \neq i}} \lambda_{j_1}\lambda_{j_2}\cdots\lambda_{j_{m-3}} \ , \\ &\vdots \\ \sigma_1 T_{m-1} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^m + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^{m-1} \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_j = T_m + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^{m-1} \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_j \ . \end{aligned}$$

Combing the above equations yields

$$\sigma_{m-1}T_1 - \sigma_{m-2}T_2 + \sigma_{m-3}T_3 - \sigma_{m-4}T_4 + \cdots + (-)^m \sigma_1 T_{m-1} = m\sigma_m + (-)^m T_m \ ,$$

which results in

$$mD_m + D_{m-1}T_1 + D_{m-2}T_2 + \cdots + D_1T_{m-1} + T_m = 0 \ . \qquad \square$$

From **Theorem 1**, the characteristic polynomials for $n \times n$ matrices can be recursively obtained as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & n=1\,, \qquad \chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda)=\lambda-T_1\,, \\ & n=2\,, \qquad \chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda)=\lambda^2-T_1\lambda+\frac{1}{2}(T_1^2-T_2)\,, \\ & n=3\,, \qquad \chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda)=\lambda^3-T_1\lambda^2+\frac{1}{2}(T_1^2-T_2)\lambda-\frac{1}{6}(T_1^3-3T_1T_2+2T_3)\,, \\ & \cdots\cdots \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

It is interesting to note that *the characteristic polynomial coefficients D_m $(1 \le m \le n)$ as polynomial functions of the traces T_k $(k=1,2,\ldots,n)$ are formally unchanged when n increases, though T_k are obviously different for distinct n.* As a consequence, *we can regard D_m $(m=1,2,\ldots)$ as an infinite sequence.* **Theorem 1** gives

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= -T_1\,, \\ 2D_2 &= -(T_1D_1+T_2)\,, \\ 3D_3 &= -(T_1D_2+T_2D_1+T_3)\,, \\ \cdots & \quad \cdots \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & D_1+2xD_2+3x^2D_3+\cdots \\ =\ & -T_1-x(T_1D_1+T_2)-x^2(T_1D_2+T_2D_1+T_3)-\cdots \\ =\ & -\Big[T_1(1+xD_1+x^2D_2+\cdots)+xT_2(1+xD_1+x^2D_2+\cdots)+\cdots\Big]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

If we define the generating functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ for the infinite sequences $\{D_n\}$ and $\{T_{k+1}\}$ respectively as follows

$$f(x) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n D_n \quad (\text{with}\ \ D_0 \equiv 1)\,, \qquad g(x) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k T_{k+1}\,, \tag{7}$$

then

$$f(0)=1\,, \quad D_n=\frac{1}{n!}\frac{\mathrm{d}^n}{\mathrm{d}x^n}f(x)\Big|_{x=0}\,, \quad T_n=\frac{1}{(n-1)!}\frac{\mathrm{d}^{n-1}}{\mathrm{d}x^{n-1}}g(x)\Big|_{x=0}\,, \quad (n=1,2,\ldots) \tag{8}$$

and Eq. (6) implies

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}f(x)=-g(x)f(x)\,, \tag{9}$$

or

$$f(x)=\exp\Big[-\int_0^x g(t)\mathrm{d}t\Big]\,, \tag{10}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \exp\Big[-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{T_n}{n}x^n\Big]=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\exp\Big[-\frac{T_n}{n}x^n\Big]=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p_n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{p_n!}\Big[-\frac{T_n}{n}x^n\Big]^{p_n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}x^n\cdot\sum_{(p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_n)\in\mathcal{S}_n}\prod_{m=1}^{n}\frac{1}{p_m!}\Big[-\frac{T_m}{m}\Big]^{p_m}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where the set $\mathcal{S}_n$ is defined by all nonnegative-integer solutions $\{(p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_n)\}$ of the equation $p_1+2p_2+\cdots+np_n=n$. Comparing Eq. (11) with Eq. (7), we obtain the expression for a generic term of the sequence $\{D_n\}$ as follows

$$D_n=\sum_{(p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_n)\in\mathcal{S}_n}\prod_{m=1}^{n}\frac{1}{p_m!}\Big[-\frac{T_m}{m}\Big]^{p_m}\,. \tag{12}$$

Example 1. Consider the computation of D_4. All nonnegative integer solutions (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) for the equation $p_1 + 2p_2 + 3p_3 + 4p_4 = 4$ and their corresponding terms are shown in Table I. Adding up all these terms gives

$$D_4 = -\frac{T_4}{4} + \frac{T_1 T_3}{3} - \frac{T_1^2 T_2}{4} + \frac{T_2^2}{8} + \frac{T_1^4}{24} \ . \tag{13}$$

TABLE I: All nonnegative integer solutions (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) for the equation $p_1 + 2p_2 + 3p_3 + 4p_4 = 4$. For each solution, there is a corresponding term $\frac{1}{p_1!}(-T_1)^{p_1}\frac{1}{p_2!}(-\frac{T_2}{2})^{p_2}\frac{1}{p_3!}(-\frac{T_3}{3})^{p_3}\frac{1}{p_4!}(-\frac{T_4}{4})^{p_4}$. Summing of all the corresponding terms yields D_4.

p_1	p_2	p_3	p_4	corresponding terms
0	0	0	1	$-\frac{T_4}{4}$
1	0	1	0	$(-T_1)(-\frac{T_3}{3})$
2	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{2!}(-T_1)^2(-\frac{T_2}{2})$
0	2	0	0	$\frac{1}{2!}(-\frac{T_2}{2})^2$
4	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{4!}(-T_1)^4$

Now we state without proof the well-known theorem in linear algebra:

Theorem 2 (Cayley-Hamilton) *Any $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{A}$ obeys its own characteristic equation, that is, $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{0}$.*

Combining Eq. (12) with **Theorems 1** and **2**, we obtain the following improved results:

Proposition 1 *For any complex $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{A}$, its characteristic polynomial is $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = \lambda^n + D_1\lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + D_{n-1}\lambda + D_n$, and $\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}^n + D_1\mathbf{A}^{n-1} + \cdots + D_{n-1}\mathbf{A} + D_n = \mathbf{0}$ where the coefficients are given by*

$$D_k = \sum_{(p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_k)\in\mathcal{S}_k} \prod_{m=1}^{k} \frac{1}{p_m!}\Big[-\frac{T_m}{m}\Big]^{p_m} , \qquad (k = 1, 2, \cdots, n) \tag{14}$$

with T_m standing for $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}^m)$ and $\mathcal{S}_k$ being a set including all nonnegative-integer solutions $\{(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k)\}$ of the equation $p_1 + 2p_2 + \cdots + kp_k = k$.

Note that the determinant of a matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is the product of all the eigenvalues λ_m $(m = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$, that is, $\det(\mathbf{A}) = \sigma_n = \prod_{m=1}^{n} \lambda_m$. Thus, **Proposition 1** implies the following byproduct results:

Proposition 2 *For any complex $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{A}$, its determinant is given by*

$$\det(\mathbf{A}) = (-)^n D_n = (-)^n \cdot \sum_{(p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_n)\in\mathcal{S}_n} \prod_{m=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_m!}\Big[-\frac{T_m}{m}\Big]^{p_m} , \tag{15}$$

with T_m standing for $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}^m)$ and $\mathcal{S}_n$ being a set including all nonnegative-integer solutions $\{(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)\}$ of the equation $p_1 + 2p_2 + \cdots + np_n = n$.

III. APPLICATIONS TO CHIRAL PERTURBATION THEORY

The trace formulae of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem can be used to build relations between traces of finite dimensional matrices. Let us study, case by case, the trace relations of $n \times n$ matrices for $n = 2$, 3, 4, and 5. In the following, $\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle$ is employed to denote the trace of a matrix $\mathbf{A}$.

1. The $n = 2$ case

For any 2×2 matrix $\mathbf{A}$, Cayley-Hamilton theorem reads

$$\mathbf{0} = \chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}^2 - \langle\mathbf{A}\rangle\mathbf{A} + \frac{1}{2}\big(\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle^2 - \langle\mathbf{A}^2\rangle\big) \ . \tag{16}$$

Multiplying Eq. (16) by $\mathbf{A}$ and taking the trace results in

$$0 = F(\mathbf{A}) \equiv \langle \mathbf{A}^3 \rangle - \frac{3}{2}\langle \mathbf{A}^2 \rangle \langle \mathbf{A} \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle^3 \ . \tag{17}$$

Substituting $\mathbf{A} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{A}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{A}_2$, where $\lambda_{1,2}$ are arbitrary parameters, into Eq. (17) one finds

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= F(\lambda_1 \mathbf{A}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{A}_2) \\ &= \lambda_1^3 F(\mathbf{A}_1) + \lambda_2^3 F(\mathbf{A}_2) + \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2 F_{12}(\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2) + \lambda_2^2 \lambda_1 F_{21}(\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2) \\ &= \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2 F_{12}(\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2) + \lambda_2^2 \lambda_1 F_{21}(\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Now, substituting $\mathbf{A} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{A}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{A}_2 + \lambda_3 \mathbf{A}_3$ into Eq. (17) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= F(\lambda_1 \mathbf{A}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{A}_2 + \lambda_3 \mathbf{A}_3) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i^3 F(\mathbf{A}_i) + \sum_{i<j} \left[\lambda_i^2 \lambda_j F_{ij}(\mathbf{A}_i, \mathbf{A}_j) + \lambda_j^2 \lambda_i F_{ji}(\mathbf{A}_i, \mathbf{A}_j) \right] + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 F_{123}(\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3) \\ &= \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 F_{123}(\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where the last equality comes from Eqs. (17) and (18). Thus, $F_{123}(\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{A}_3) = 0$, that is,

$$0 = \sum_{2 \text{ perm}} \langle \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{A}_3 \rangle - \sum_{3 \text{ perm}} \langle \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_2 \rangle \langle \mathbf{A}_3 \rangle + \langle \mathbf{A}_1 \rangle \langle \mathbf{A}_2 \rangle \langle \mathbf{A}_3 \rangle \ . \tag{20}$$

Likewise, multiplying Eq. (16) by $\mathbf{A}^2$ and subsequently taking the trace gives

$$0 = \langle \mathbf{A}^4 \rangle - \langle \mathbf{A}^3 \rangle \langle \mathbf{A} \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{A}^2 \rangle^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{A}^2 \rangle \langle \mathbf{A} \rangle^2 \ . \tag{21}$$

Then inserting $\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \lambda_i \mathbf{A}_i$ into Eq. (21) and comparing the coefficients of $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4$, one finds

$$0 = \sum_{6 \text{ perm}} \langle \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{A}_3 \mathbf{A}_4 \rangle - \frac{3}{4} \sum_{8 \text{ perm}} \langle \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{A}_3 \rangle \langle \mathbf{A}_4 \rangle - \sum_{3 \text{ perm}} \langle \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_2 \rangle \langle \mathbf{A}_3 \mathbf{A}_4 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{6 \text{ perm}} \langle \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_2 \rangle \langle \mathbf{A}_3 \rangle \langle \mathbf{A}_4 \rangle \ . \tag{22}$$

In particular, if $\langle \mathbf{A}_i \rangle = 0$, Eq. (22) becomes

$$0 = \sum_{6 \text{ perm}} \langle \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{A}_3 \mathbf{A}_4 \rangle - \sum_{3 \text{ perm}} \langle \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_2 \rangle \langle \mathbf{A}_3 \mathbf{A}_4 \rangle \ , \qquad (\text{for } \langle \mathbf{A}_i \rangle = 0) \ , \tag{23}$$

whose explicit form is

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{A}_3 \mathbf{A}_4 \rangle + \langle \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_3 \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{A}_4 \rangle + \langle \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_3 \mathbf{A}_4 \rangle + \langle \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{A}_3 \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_4 \rangle + \langle \mathbf{A}_3 \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{A}_4 \rangle + \langle \mathbf{A}_3 \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_4 \rangle \\ = \ &\langle \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_2 \rangle \langle \mathbf{A}_3 \mathbf{A}_4 \rangle + \langle \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_3 \rangle \langle \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{A}_4 \rangle + \langle \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_4 \rangle \langle \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{A}_3 \rangle \ , \qquad (\text{for } \langle \mathbf{A}_i \rangle = 0) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Then further taking $\mathbf{A}_1 = \mathbf{A}_2 = \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A}_3 = \mathbf{A}_4 = \mathbf{B}$ in Eq. (24), one obtains

$$4\langle \mathbf{A}^2 \mathbf{B}^2 \rangle + 2\langle \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{A}^2 \rangle \langle \mathbf{B}^2 \rangle + 2\langle \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} \rangle^2 \ , \qquad (\text{for } \langle \mathbf{A} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{B} \rangle = 0) \ . \tag{25}$$

Eventually, multiplying Eq. (16) by $\mathbf{A}^4$ and the same procedure as above results in

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \ = \ &\sum_{120 \text{ perm}} \langle \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{A}_3 \mathbf{A}_4 \mathbf{A}_5 \mathbf{A}_6 \rangle - \frac{5}{6} \sum_{144 \text{ perm}} \langle \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{A}_3 \mathbf{A}_4 \mathbf{A}_5 \rangle \langle \mathbf{A}_6 \rangle - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{90 \text{ perm}} \langle \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{A}_3 \mathbf{A}_4 \rangle \langle \mathbf{A}_5 \mathbf{A}_6 \rangle \\ &+ \frac{2}{3} \sum_{90 \text{ perm}} \langle \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{A}_3 \mathbf{A}_4 \rangle \langle \mathbf{A}_5 \rangle \langle \mathbf{A}_6 \rangle \ . \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Eqs. (20), (22) and (26) are useful relations for the construction of any 2-flavor chiral perturbation theory.

Example 2. Consider a 2-flavor chiral perturbation theory with the chiral (global) symmetry breaking pattern $[SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_V]/[SU(2)_V \times U(1)_V]$. The basic building block of the theory is usually chosen to be a

unitary unimodular 2×2 matrix field U, which transforms as $U\to RUL^\dagger$ under the chiral symmetry group with $R\in SU(2)_R$ and $L\in SU(2)_L$. If we define $X_\mu\equiv U^\dagger(D_\mu U)$ which transforms like $X_\mu\to LX_\mu L^\dagger$. As $\det U=1$, then

$$0=\partial_\mu\det U=\partial_\mu(e^{\log\det U})=e^{\log\det U}\partial_\mu(\log\det U)=\partial_\mu(\mathrm{tr}\log U)=\mathrm{tr}(U^\dagger\partial_\mu U)=\mathrm{tr}(U^\dagger D_\mu U)=\mathrm{tr}(X_\mu)\ . \tag{27}$$

In the chiral Lagrangian, the chiral symmetric terms that consist of four X_μ's are $\langle X_\mu X^\mu X_\nu X^\nu\rangle$, $\langle X_\mu X_\nu X^\mu X^\nu\rangle$, $\langle X_\mu X^\mu\rangle^2$, and $\langle X_\mu X_\nu\rangle^2$. Explicitly, the first two terms are

$$\begin{aligned}\langle X_\mu X^\mu X_\nu X^\nu\rangle &= \sum_i g_{ii}^2\langle X^iX^iX^iX^i\rangle+\sum_{i<k}g_{ii}g_{kk}\Big(\langle X^iX^iX^kX^k\rangle+\langle X^kX^kX^iX^i\rangle\Big)\\ &= \sum_i g_{ii}^2\langle X^iX^iX^iX^i\rangle+2\sum_{i<k}g_{ii}g_{kk}\langle X^iX^iX^kX^k\rangle\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

$$\langle X_\mu X_\nu X^\mu X^\nu\rangle = \sum_i g_{ii}^2\langle X^iX^iX^iX^i\rangle+2\sum_{i<k}g_{ii}g_{kk}\langle X^iX^kX^iX^k\rangle\ . \tag{29}$$

Note that there is no summation on repeated indices i and k unless specially stated by a summation symbol, $\sum$. Then, a linear combination of these two terms gives

$$\begin{aligned}2\langle X_\mu X^\mu X_\nu X^\nu\rangle+\langle X_\mu X_\nu X^\mu X^\nu\rangle &= 3\sum_i g_{ii}^2\langle X^iX^iX^iX^i\rangle+\sum_{i<k}g_{ii}g_{kk}\Big(4\langle X^iX^iX^kX^k\rangle+2\langle X^iX^kX^iX^k\rangle\Big)\\ &= \frac{3}{2}\sum_i g_{ii}^2\langle X^iX^i\rangle^2+\sum_{i<k}g_{ii}g_{kk}\Big(\langle X^iX^i\rangle\langle X^kX^k\rangle+2\langle X^iX^k\rangle\langle X^iX^k\rangle\Big)\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\langle X_\mu X^\mu\rangle^2+\langle X_\mu X_\nu\rangle^2\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where the second equality comes from Eqs. (21) and (25). From Eq. (30), one finds that at least one of the four 4-X_μ terms can be determined by the other three terms. A check for Eq. (30) by an alternative method is given in App. A.

2. The $n=3$ case

For any 3×3 matrix $\mathbf{A}$, Cayley-Hamilton theorem reads

$$\mathbf{0}=\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A})=\mathbf{A}^3-\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle\mathbf{A}^2+\frac{1}{2}\big(\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle^2-\langle\mathbf{A}^2\rangle\big)\mathbf{A}-\frac{1}{6}\big(\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle^3-3\langle\mathbf{A}^2\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle+2\langle\mathbf{A}^3\rangle\big)\ , \tag{31}$$

from which, the same procedure as the $n=2$ case yields

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \sum_{6\text{ perm}}\langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\mathbf{A}_4\rangle-\sum_{8\text{ perm}}\langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_4\rangle-\sum_{3\text{ perm}}\langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_3\mathbf{A}_4\rangle+\sum_{6\text{ perm}}\langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_3\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_4\rangle\\ &\quad-\langle\mathbf{A}_1\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_2\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_3\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_4\rangle\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \sum_{120\text{ perm}}\langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\mathbf{A}_4\mathbf{A}_5\mathbf{A}_6\rangle-\frac{5}{6}\sum_{144\text{ perm}}\langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\mathbf{A}_4\mathbf{A}_5\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_6\rangle-\frac{2}{3}\sum_{90\text{ perm}}\langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\mathbf{A}_4\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_5\mathbf{A}_6\rangle\\ &\quad+\frac{2}{3}\sum_{90\text{ perm}}\langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\mathbf{A}_4\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_5\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_6\rangle-\sum_{40\text{ perm}}\langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_4\mathbf{A}_5\mathbf{A}_6\rangle\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{120\text{ perm}}\langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_4\mathbf{A}_5\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_6\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{40\text{ perm}}\langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_4\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_5\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_6\rangle\ .\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Eqs. (32) and (33) are useful relations for the construction of any 3-flavor chiral perturbation theory, such as $[SU(3)_L\times SU(3)_R\times U(1)_V]/[SU(3)_V\times U(1)_V]$ and $SU(3)/SO(3)$ chiral perturbation theories.

3. The $n = 4$ case

For any 4×4 matrix $\mathbf{A}$, Cayley-Hamilton theorem reads

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{0} = \chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}) \;=\; & \mathbf{A}^4 - \langle\mathbf{A}\rangle\mathbf{A}^3 + \frac{1}{2}\big(\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle^2 - \langle\mathbf{A}^2\rangle\big)\mathbf{A}^2 - \frac{1}{6}\big(\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle^3 - 3\langle\mathbf{A}^2\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle + 2\langle\mathbf{A}^3\rangle\big)\mathbf{A} \\ & + \bigg(- \frac{1}{4}\langle\mathbf{A}^4\rangle + \frac{1}{3}\langle\mathbf{A}^3\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle + \frac{1}{8}\langle\mathbf{A}^2\rangle^2 - \frac{1}{4}\langle\mathbf{A}^2\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle^2 + \frac{1}{24}\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle^4 \bigg) ,\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

from which, the same procedure as the $n = 2$ case yields

$$\begin{aligned}0 \;=\; & \sum_{120\text{ perm}} \langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\mathbf{A}_4\mathbf{A}_5\mathbf{A}_6\rangle - \frac{5}{6}\sum_{144\text{ perm}} \langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\mathbf{A}_4\mathbf{A}_5\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_6\rangle - \sum_{90\text{ perm}} \langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\mathbf{A}_4\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_5\mathbf{A}_6\rangle \\ & + \frac{2}{3}\sum_{90\text{ perm}} \langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\mathbf{A}_4\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_5\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_6\rangle - \sum_{40\text{ perm}} \langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_4\mathbf{A}_5\mathbf{A}_6\rangle \\ & + \frac{5}{6}\sum_{120\text{ perm}} \langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_4\mathbf{A}_5\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_6\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{40\text{ perm}} \langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_4\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_5\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_6\rangle \\ & + \sum_{15\text{ perm}} \langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_3\mathbf{A}_4\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_5\mathbf{A}_6\rangle - \frac{2}{3}\sum_{45\text{ perm}} \langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_3\mathbf{A}_4\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_5\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_6\rangle \\ & + \frac{1}{3}\sum_{15\text{ perm}} \langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_3\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_4\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_5\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_6\rangle ,\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

which is a useful relation for the construction of any 4-flavor chiral perturbation theory, such as $[SU(4)_L \times SU(4)_R \times U(1)_V]/[SU(4)_V \times U(1)_V]$, $SU(4)/SO(4)$, and $SU(4)/Sp(4)$ chiral perturbation theories.

4. The $n = 5$ case

For any 5×5 matrix $\mathbf{A}$, Cayley-Hamilton theorem reads

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{0} = \chi_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}) \;=\; & \mathbf{A}^5 - \langle\mathbf{A}\rangle\mathbf{A}^4 + \frac{1}{2}\big(\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle^2 - \langle\mathbf{A}^2\rangle\big)\mathbf{A}^3 - \frac{1}{6}\big(\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle^3 - 3\langle\mathbf{A}^2\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle + 2\langle\mathbf{A}^3\rangle\big)\mathbf{A}^2 \\ & + \bigg(- \frac{1}{4}\langle\mathbf{A}^4\rangle + \frac{1}{3}\langle\mathbf{A}^3\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle + \frac{1}{8}\langle\mathbf{A}^2\rangle^2 - \frac{1}{4}\langle\mathbf{A}^2\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle^2 + \frac{1}{24}\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle^4 \bigg)\mathbf{A} \\ & + \bigg(- \frac{1}{5}\langle\mathbf{A}^5\rangle + \frac{1}{4}\langle\mathbf{A}^4\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle + \frac{1}{6}\langle\mathbf{A}^3\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}^2\rangle - \frac{1}{6}\langle\mathbf{A}^3\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle^2 - \frac{1}{8}\langle\mathbf{A}^2\rangle^2\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle \\ & + \frac{1}{12}\langle\mathbf{A}^2\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle^3 - \frac{1}{120}\langle\mathbf{A}\rangle^5 \bigg) ,\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

from which, the same procedure as the $n = 2$ case yields

$$\begin{aligned}0 \;=\; & \sum_{120\text{ perm}} \langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\mathbf{A}_4\mathbf{A}_5\mathbf{A}_6\rangle - \sum_{144\text{ perm}} \langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\mathbf{A}_4\mathbf{A}_5\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_6\rangle - \sum_{90\text{ perm}} \langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\mathbf{A}_4\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_5\mathbf{A}_6\rangle \\ & + \sum_{90\text{ perm}} \langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\mathbf{A}_4\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_5\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_6\rangle - \sum_{40\text{ perm}} \langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_4\mathbf{A}_5\mathbf{A}_6\rangle \\ & + \sum_{120\text{ perm}} \langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_4\mathbf{A}_5\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_6\rangle - \sum_{40\text{ perm}} \langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_4\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_5\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_6\rangle \\ & + \sum_{15\text{ perm}} \langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_3\mathbf{A}_4\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_5\mathbf{A}_6\rangle - \sum_{45\text{ perm}} \langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_3\mathbf{A}_4\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_5\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_6\rangle \\ & + \sum_{15\text{ perm}} \langle\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_3\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_4\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_5\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_6\rangle - \langle\mathbf{A}_1\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_2\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_3\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_4\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_5\rangle\langle\mathbf{A}_6\rangle ,\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

which is a useful relation for the construction of any 5-flavor chiral perturbation theory, such as $[SU(5)_L \times SU(5)_R \times U(1)_V]/[SU(5)_V \times U(1)_V]$ and $SU(5)/SO(5)$ chiral perturbation theories.

IV. APPLICATIONS TO GENERAL RELATIVITY

In general relativity, a second-rank covariant tensor field $g_{\mu\nu}$ called the metric plays a crucial role. Its determinant, $g \equiv \det(g_{\mu\nu})$, is usually needed to be figured out in case one wants to handle the pseudoscalar volumn element: $\sqrt{-g}d^dx$, where the superscript d stands for the number of spacetime dimensions. Let the dimension number d be 10, which is required for the consistency of superstring theories. Using Eq. (15), one can express the determinant of the metric 10×10 matrix $\mathbf{g}$ in terms of the traces of the successive powers of $\mathbf{g}$. Since there are 42 solutions $(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{10})$ of the equation $p_1 + 2p_2 + \cdots + 10p_{10} = 10$, there are also 42 terms in the expression of $\det \mathbf{g}$, which is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(\mathbf{g}) \;=\; & -\frac{1}{10}T_{10} + \frac{1}{9}T_9T_1 + \frac{1}{16}T_8T_2 - \frac{1}{16}T_8T_1^2 + \frac{1}{21}T_7T_3 - \frac{1}{14}T_7T_2T_1 + \frac{1}{42}T_7T_1^3 + \frac{1}{24}T_6T_4 - \frac{1}{18}T_6T_3T_1 \\
& - \frac{1}{48}T_6T_2^2 + \frac{1}{24}T_6T_2T_1^2 - \frac{1}{144}T_6T_1^4 + \frac{1}{50}T_5^2 - \frac{1}{20}T_5T_4T_1 - \frac{1}{30}T_5T_3T_2 + \frac{1}{30}T_5T_3T_1^2 + \frac{1}{40}T_5T_2^2T_1 \\
& - \frac{1}{60}T_5T_2T_1^3 + \frac{1}{600}T_5T_1^5 - \frac{1}{64}T_4^2T_2 + \frac{1}{64}T_4^2T_1^2 - \frac{1}{72}T_4T_3^2 + \frac{1}{24}T_4T_3T_2T_1 - \frac{1}{72}T_4T_3T_1^3 + \frac{1}{192}T_4T_2^3 \\
& - \frac{1}{64}T_4T_2^2T_1^2 + \frac{1}{192}T_4T_2T_1^4 - \frac{1}{2880}T_4T_1^6 + \frac{1}{162}T_3^3T_1 + \frac{1}{144}T_3^2T_2^2 - \frac{1}{72}T_3^2T_2T_1^2 + \frac{1}{432}T_3^2T_1^4 \\
& - \frac{1}{36}T_3T_2^3T_1 + \frac{1}{144}T_3T_2^2T_1^3 - \frac{1}{720}T_3T_2T_1^5 + \frac{1}{15120}T_3T_1^7 - \frac{1}{240}T_2^5 + \frac{1}{96}T_2^4T_1^2 \\
& - \frac{1}{288}T_2^3T_1^4 + \frac{1}{5760}T_2^2T_1^6 - \frac{1}{80640}T_2T_1^8 + \frac{1}{3628800}T_1^{10} \,, \qquad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

where T_m $(m = 1, 2, \ldots, 10)$ stand for $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{g}^m)$. Eq. (38) shows that the determinant $g = \det(\mathbf{g})$ is completely decided by the 10 traces, T_m $(m = 1, 2, \ldots, 10)$, and this expression is fairly controllable and thus can be possibly used in future theoretical considerations. By using *PASCAL* (a sample code is given in App. B), one easily finds that there are 56 trace terms among $\det(\mathbf{g})$ for 11 dimensional spacetime corresponding to the M theory, while 2436 terms for 26 dimensional spacetime.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have given a new proof, by using combinatorics, for the recurrence relations of the characteristic polynomial coefficients, and, moreover, we have obtained an explicit expression for the generic term of the coefficient sequence, which yields an improved version of the Cayley-Hamilton's theorem with all coefficients explicitly given, and which implies a byproduct expression for the determinant of any finite-dimensional matrix in terms of the traces of its successive powers. We have shown that these relations can be applied to chiral perturbation theories and general relativity. On the one hand, we have obtained the Cayley-Hamilton relations up to the trace of six operators in any n-flavor chiral perturbation theory for n runs from 2 to 5. On the other hand, we have obtained the determinant of the metric tensor in terms of the traces of its successive powers in 10 dimensional spacetime. For higher dimensional spacetime, it is also easily obtained by using a *PASCAL* program code, which is contained in the appendix.

APPENDIX A: A CHECK FOR THE CAYLEY-HAMILTON RELATIONS IN THE $n = 2$ CASE

In this appendix, we give a check for the Cayley-Hamilton relations in the $n = 2$ case by using the property of Pauli matrices. For definiteness, let us verify Eq. (30) in **Example 2**. Since X_μ is traceless due to Eq. (27), then it can be decomposed, based on Pauli matrices, to $X_\mu = \tau^a X_\mu^a$ with the coefficients X_μ^a $(a = 1, 2, 3)$ and τ^a $(a = 1, 2, 3)$ standing for Pauli matrices. Now let us show the following identity:

$$\langle X_\mu X_\nu X_\rho X_\sigma \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\langle X_\mu X_\nu \rangle \langle X_\rho X_\sigma \rangle + \langle X_\mu X_\sigma \rangle \langle X_\nu X_\rho \rangle - \langle X_\mu X_\rho \rangle \langle X_\nu X_\sigma \rangle \Big) \,, \qquad \text{(A1)}$$

where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ stands for taking the trace.

Proof. The well-known identities $\tau^a\tau^b = \delta^{ab} + i\epsilon^{abc}\tau^c$ and $\epsilon^{abe}\epsilon^{cde} = \delta^{ac}\delta^{bd} - \delta^{ad}\delta^{bc}$ imply that

$$\langle \tau^a\tau^b \rangle = 2\delta^{ab} \,, \qquad \langle \tau^a\tau^b\tau^c\tau^d \rangle = 2(\delta^{ab}\delta^{cd} + \delta^{ad}\delta^{bc} - \delta^{ac}\delta^{bd}) \,.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle X_\mu X_\nu X_\rho X_\sigma\rangle &= \langle \tau^a\tau^b\tau^c\tau^d\rangle X^a_\mu X^b_\nu X^c_\rho X^d_\sigma \\ &= 2(\delta^{ab}\delta^{cd}+\delta^{ad}\delta^{bc}-\delta^{ac}\delta^{bd})X^a_\mu X^b_\nu X^c_\rho X^d_\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Big(\langle X_\mu X_\nu\rangle\langle X_\rho X_\sigma\rangle+\langle X_\mu X_\sigma\rangle\langle X_\nu X_\rho\rangle-\langle X_\mu X_\rho\rangle\langle X_\nu X_\sigma\rangle\Big)\ . \qquad \square\end{aligned}$$

Then, by contracting indices in Eq. (A1), we obtain

$$\langle X_\mu X_\nu X^\mu X^\nu\rangle = \langle X_\mu X_\nu\rangle^2 - \frac{1}{2}\langle X_\mu X^\mu\rangle^2\ , \tag{A2}$$

$$\langle X_\mu X^\mu X_\nu X^\nu\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\langle X_\mu X^\mu\rangle^2\ , \tag{A3}$$

from which it is easily checked that

$$2\langle X_\mu X^\mu X_\nu X^\nu\rangle + \langle X_\mu X_\nu X^\mu X^\nu\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\langle X_\mu X^\mu\rangle^2 + \langle X_\mu X_\nu\rangle^2\ , \tag{A4}$$

which is exactly Eq. (30) obtained in **Example 2**. It should be kept in mind that Eq. (A1), or Eqs. (A2) and (A3) hold only for $n = 2$, though they are stronger than Eq. (A4) which comes from the Cayley-Hamilton theorem. However, from Eq. (32), one notes that the following relation holds as well in the $n = 3$ case.

$$0 = \sum_{6\ \mathrm{perm}} \langle \mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_3\mathbf{A}_4\rangle - \sum_{3\ \mathrm{perm}} \langle \mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\rangle\langle \mathbf{A}_3\mathbf{A}_4\rangle\ , \qquad (\text{for } \langle \mathbf{A}_i\rangle = 0)\ . \tag{A5}$$

As a consequence, Eq. (A4) holds not only for 2×2 but also for 3×3 traceless matrices X_μ. Obviously, this relation is invalid for $n\times n$ ($n \geq 4$) matrices.

APPENDIX B: A PASCAL CODE FOR COMPUTATION OF D_n

```
Type splittype=array[1..40]of integer;
var num,sum,k:integer;
var spl:splittype;
var f1,f2:text;
procedure Output;
  var i,x,y:integer;
  var sp:splittype;
  begin
    for i:=1 to 40 do sp[i]:=0;
    for i:=1 to k-2 do
      begin
        write(f1,spl[i],'+');
        sp[spl[i]]:=sp[spl[i]]+1;
      end;
    write(f1,spl[k-1],'=',num);sp[spl[k-1]]:=sp[spl[k-1]]+1;
    writeln(f1);
    for i:=1 to num do write(f2,sp[i],' ');
    i:=1;
    while sp[i]=0 do i:=i+1;
    if sp[i]<>1 then write(f2,'1/',sp[i],'!','(-T_',i,'/',i,')^',sp[i])
      else write(f2,'(-T_',i,'/',i,')');
    i:=i+1;
    while i<=num do
      begin
        if sp[i]<>0 then
          if sp[i]=1 then write(f2,'*(-T_',i,'/',i,')')
            else write(f2,'*1/',sp[i],'!','(-T_',i,'/',i,')^',sp[i],'*');
```

```
        i:=i+1;
      end;
    writeln(f2);
  end;
procedure split(n,m:integer);
  var i:integer;
  begin
    if n=0 then begin Output; sum:=sum+1 end
      else if n>0 then
        begin
          for i:=m downto 1 do
            begin
              if n>=i then spl[k]:=i;
              k:=k+1;
              split(n-i,i);
              k:=k-1;
            end;
        end;
  end;
begin
  sum:=0;k:=1;
  assign(f1,'Output.txt');
  assign(f2,'Output2.txt');
  rewrite(f1);
  rewrite(f2);
  readln(num);
  split(num,num);
  writeln(f1,'Total is ',sum);
  writeln(f2,'Total is ',sum);
  close(f1);
  close(f2);
end.
```

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank J. K. Parry, Zhan Xu and Ran Lu for helpful discussions. This work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

[1] V. V. Voyevodin, *Linear Algebra*, English translation from the Russian by V. Shokurov (Mir Publishers, Moscow, 1983).
[2] R. Urech, Nucl. Phys. B **433**, 234 (1995) [arXiv:hep-ph/9405341].
[3] H. W. Fearing and S. Scherer, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 315 (1996) [arXiv:hep-ph/9408346].
[4] J. Bijnens, G. Colangelo and G. Ecker, JHEP **9902**, 020 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9902437].
[5] S. Scherer, Adv. Nucl. Phys. **27**, 277 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0210398].
[6] R. R. Silva, J. Math. Phys. 39, 6206-6213 (1998) [arXiv:math-ph/9805006].